

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED

2015 MAR 31 AM 11:56

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BY _____ DEPUTY

DYNAMIC 3D GEOSOLUTIONS, LLC, §
PLAINTIFF, §

V. §

CAUSE NO. A-14-CV-526-LY


EMERSON ELECTRIC CO.; EMERSON §
PROCESS MANAGEMENT LLLP; §
ROXAR, INC.; AND ROXAR AS, §
DEFENDANTS. §

ORDER

Before the court are the Motion to Dismiss of Emerson Electric Co., Emerson Process Management LLLP, and Roxar, Inc. filed July 1, 2015 (Doc. #10); Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants Emerson Electric Co., Emerson Process Management LLLP, and Roxar, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss filed July 15, 2014 (Doc. #32); and Defendants Emerson Electric Co., Emerson Process Management LLLP, and Roxar, Inc.'s Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss filed July 22, 2014 (Doc. #34). Relying and incorporating in its entirety Defendants Schlumberger Limited (Schlumberger N.V.), Schlumberger Holdings Corporation, and Schlumberger Technology Corporation's motion to dismiss filed in a related case before this court, Doc. Nos. 19, 29, 32, 35, and 42 in *Dynamic 3D Geosolutions LLC v. Schlumberger Ltd. et al.*, Cause No. 1:14-CV-112-LY, the Defendants' motion seeks to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice on the ground that the asserted patent is invalid for failing to claim patent-eligible subject matter. On this same date, the court rendered a Final Judgment in Cause No. 1:14-CV-112-LY, terminating the Schlumberger Defendants' motion to dismiss. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss of Emerson Electric Co., Emerson Process Management LLLP, and Roxar, Inc. filed July 1, 2015 (Doc. #10) is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to refiling to urge specific grounds for dismissal germane to Defendants in this case.

SIGNED this 31st day of March, 2015.



LEE YEAKEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE